



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/615,545	07/13/2000	Teng Xu	2000B009A	4214

7590 02/07/2003
ExxonMobil Chemical Company
Law Technology
PO BOX 2149
Baytown, TX 77522

EXAMINER

YILDIRIM, BEKIR L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1764

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/615,545

Applicant(s)

XU ET AL.

Examiner

Bekir L. YILDIRIM

Art Unit

1764

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bekir L. YILDIRIM.(3) Teng Xu.(2) Paul Lavoie.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1/31/08

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.


Claim(s) discussed: 1-50.Identification of prior art discussed: All cited.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argued that the instant co-catalysts are integrated into the pore openings and became part of the pore structure; and the 8-membered rings of the instant invention would not accept the aromatic molecules in the references whose sizes are larger than the pore openings. The applicant may amend the claims by introducing 8-membered ring and "inside" limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Bekir L. Yildirim
Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required